



Record of Officer's Decision

The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014 and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

Date of Decision:	9 July 2024
Decision Maker (Officer):	Andy Nepean – Public Realm Manger
Authority for Delegated Decision (Cabinet/Committee Decision or Scheme of Delegation – provide reference):	Part 3, Schedule 3 – Responsibility for Executive Functions delegated to Officers paragraph 4.3 (1) – the Corporate Director has delegated authority to discharge executive functions within their respective service areas (Part 3.38). All delegations are subject to consultation where considered appropriate in the circumstances (paragraph 4.3 (4(ii) – Part 3.39).
Identify which Portfolio Holder(s)/Committee Chairman consulted?	Cllr M Barry
Ward Member(s) consulted?	No
Is it a Key Decision?	No
Is it subject to call-in?	No
Decision Made:	To enter into a 15 year licence agreement for MER to provide a fully funded electric vehicle charge points at Orwell Place Car Park, Dovercourt.
Reason for Decision (if a report was produced to support the Decision, refer to or attach it):	MER are the owners and suppliers of the electric charge points at Orwell Place, Dovercourt. The decision is made to enter into a 15 year agreement for them to provide the handling service for transactions and ongoing care and maintenance of the equipment. Paying Tendring District a percentage of profit once all expenses have been deducted
Highlight any associated risks/finance/legal/equality considerations:	The associated risks are negligible if option two is adopted and the ownership and responsibility of service and equipment will remain with MER.
Details of any Alternative Options Considered and rejected (together with reasons):	Option 1 TDC would acquire the charge point machines and be responsible for all of the costs, MER would operate the devices on behalf of TDC, retaining a fee as a percentage of takings.

Details of any declarations of interest (by Portfolio Holder/Committee Chairman who was consulted by the officer, which related to the decision) If relevant, a note of the dispensation granted by the Monitoring Officer:	n/a
Reason Decision, or	Not applicable – Decision [and report] to be published
supporting Report, is not	If Report is not to be published – tick one of the following
published:	boxes:
Tick one or more of the specific	The report supporting the Decision contains confidential information
exemptions,	The Report supporting the Decision falls within an
-	exemption pursuant to Schedule 12A of the Local
<u>and</u>	Government Act 1972 Information:
Give more information in the	Relates to an individual
final box with regards to why	Likely to reveal the identity of an individual
the exemption applies and outweighs the public interest	Relating to financial or business affairs of a person or organisation
test (which is in favour of disclosure).	Relates to a claim for legal professional privilege in legal proceedings
	Reveals that the Council proposes to give under any enactment a notice under or by virtue of which requirements are imposed on a person; or to make an order or direction under any enactment
	Relating to any action taken or to be taken in connection with the prevention, investigation or prosecution of crime
	And is exempt if and so long, as in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information
	Reasons: n/a
Officers	

Officers

Signed:

Title: Public Realm Operations Manager

In consultation with:

Portfolio Holder Leisure and Public Realm